IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NICOLE DUNN, on behalf of others similarly situated,	of herself and :	CIVIL ACTION
	: Plaintiff, :	No
V.		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
H & J RESTAURANT MAN	AGEMENT, :	JOKT TRIAL DEMANDED
INC.,	•	
	Defendant.	
	:	

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Nicole Dunn ("Plaintiff") brings this class/collective action lawsuit against

Defendant H & J Restaurant Management, Inc. ("Defendant") seeking all available relief under

the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. and the Pennsylvania

Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. § 333.101, et seq. Plaintiff asserts her FLSA claim as

a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and asserts her PMWA claim as a class action under

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Subject matter jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is based on 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Subject matter jurisdiction over the PMWA claim is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff resides in Doylestown, Pennsylvania (Bucks County).

5. Defendant is a Pennsylvania corporate entity headquartered in Doylestown,

Case 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Document 1 Filed 07/23/18 Page 2 of 6

Pennsylvania (Bucks County).

6. Defendant employs individuals, including Plaintiff, engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and/or handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce by any person.

FACTS

Defendant operates at least three McDonald's franchises located in southeastern
 Pennsylvania. This includes locations in Plumsteadville, New Hope, and Warrington,

8. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at its Plumsteadville McDonald's franchise from approximately January 2017 until April 2017 and again from approximately January 2018 until April 2018.

9. Throughout her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was paid on an hourly basis of approximately \$8.25/hr and was not exempt from the mandates of the FLSA and/or PMWA.

10. Throughout her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.

11. Defendants failed to record and pay Plaintiff for all of the time she spent performing her work activities. This included regular hours (below 40 hours in a workweek) and overtime hours when she worked above 40 hours in a workweek.

12. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all of her work activities through various methods including, for example: (a) erasing or modify Plaintiff's recorded time in order to eliminate or reduce her work hours credited by Defendant, and (b) requiring Plaintiff to perform work during unpaid breaks.

13. Even when Defendant credited Plaintiff with working more than 40 hours in a

Case 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Document 1 Filed 07/23/18 Page 3 of 6

single week, it failed to pay her overtime premium compensation for her overtime work. Instead, Defendant would only pay Plaintiff overtime premium compensation when she worked over 80 hours in a *two-week* period.

14. In addition to Plaintiff, Defendant employs numerous other non-exempt hourly employees at their franchise locations throughout southeastern Pennsylvania. Like Plaintiff, these individuals: (a) are paid on an hourly basis; (b) have regularly worked more than 40 hours in a single workweek; (c) have not been compensated for all of their work activities; and (d) did not receive overtime premium compensation when they worked over 40 hours in a single week.

15. As a result of these common business practices, Defendant has failed to compensate Plaintiff and similarly situated non-exempt hourly employees for all work hours, and when warranted, the legally mandated overtime premium for hours worked over 40 in a workweek.

16. In failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and similarly situated non-exempt hourly employees, Defendant has acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA and PMWA provisions concerning the payment of minimum wages and overtime premium wages.

COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as a collective action on behalf of all individuals who, during any workweek since July 18, 2015, have worked at one of Defendant's McDonald's franchises in Pennsylvania and were classified as non-exempt from the overtime pay mandates.

18. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and other potential members of the collective, having worked pursuant to the common policies

Case 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Document 1 Filed 07/23/18 Page 4 of 6

described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.

19. Plaintiff brings her PMWA claim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals who, during any workweek since July 18, 2015, have worked at one of Defendant's McDonald's franchises and were classified as non-exempt from the overtime pay mandates.

20. The putative class, upon information and belief, includes at least 50 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's payroll records, and, as such, is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.

21. Plaintiff is a class member, her claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and she has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.

22. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests, and she has retained competent and experienced counsel who will effectively represent the class members' interests.

23. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, since, *inter alia*, this lawsuit concerns the legality of Defendant's standardized compensation practices.

24. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

<u>COUNT I</u> (Alleging Violations of the FLSA)

25. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

Case 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Document 1 Filed 07/23/18 Page 5 of 6

26. Plaintiff and members of the proposed collective are employees entitled to the FLSA's protections.

27. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA.

28. The FLSA entitles employees to minimum hourly compensation of \$7.25. See 29U.S.C. §207

29. The FLSA entitles employees to compensation for every hour worked in a workweek. See 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

30. The FLSA entitles employees to overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek. See 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

31. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the proposed collective the legally mandated minimum wage and overtime premium compensation.

32. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

<u>COUNT II</u> (Alleging Violations of the PMWA)

33. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

34. Plaintiff and members of the propsed class are employees entitled to the PMWA's protections.

35. Defendant is an employer covered by the PMWA.

36. The PMWA entitles employees to minimum hourly compensation of \$7.25. See43 P.S. § 333.104.

37. The PMWA entitles employees to compensation for every hour worked in a workweek. See 34 Pa. Code § 231.1.

Case 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Document 1 Filed 07/23/18 Page 6 of 6

38. The PMWA entitles employees to overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek. See 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).

39. Defendant violated the PMWA by failing to compensate Plaintiff and the proposed class the legally mandated minimum wage and overtime premium compensation.

40. In violating the PMWA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable PMWA provisions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others, seeks: (a) unpaid minimum wage and overtime premium compensation; (b) prejudgment interest; (c) liquidated damages (available under FLSA only); (d) reasonable litigation costs, expenses, and attorney's fees; and (e) any other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

Date: July 18, 2018

".Mlt

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo Mark J. Gottesfeld WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC Twining Office Center, Suite 211 715 Twining Road Dresher, PA 19025 Phone: (215) 884-2491

Counsel for Plaintiff

Ca	ase 2:18-cv-03068-CDJ Doc	cument 1-1 Kiled 07	7/23/18 Page 1 of	3		
JS 44 (Rev 06, 17)	CIVIL C	OVER SHEET	18.CV.3	ar		
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	t This feature and the description of the second	nor supplement the filing and service of the United States in September OF THIS FORM)	ce of pleadings or other papers a 1974, is required for the use of	as required by law, except as the Clerk of Court for the		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	⁵ 18	3068		
NICOLE DUNN		H & J RESTAURA	ANT MANAGEMENT, INC	0000		
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintyf Bucks County, PA KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	County of Residence	e of Furst Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	Bucks County, PA		
		NOTE IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TI T OF LAND INVOLVED			
Peter Winebrake, Esq , F	Address, and Telephone Number) R. Andrew Santillo, Esq, Mark Gottesfeld C, Twining Office Center, Suite 211, Dre 4-2491					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTON (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES			
J 1 US Government Plaintiff	Y 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 C I Incorporated or Pri of Business In T			
7 2 U S Government Defendant	I 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 C 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A			
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	56 56		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an 'X" in One Box Only) TORTS	*	Click here for <u>Nature o</u> BANKRUPTCY	f Suit Code Descriptions		
 Ito Insurance Ito Insurance Ito Marine Ito Negotiable Instrument Ito Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Ito Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) Ito Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Ito Stockholders Suits Ito Stockholders Suits Ito Other Contract Ito Contract Product Liability Ito Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR 310 Aurplane 365 Personal Injury 315 Aurplane Product Product Ltability Lability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical	RY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 090 Other □ 690 Other □ 710 Fait Labor Standards Act □ 20 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act e IMMIGRATION □ □ 462 Naturalization Application O Actions	 ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent Abbreviated New Drug Application ☐ 840 Frademark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUFTS ☐ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609 	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X1 Original Proceeding J 2 Removed from State Court J 3 Remanded from Appellate Court J 4 Reinstated or Reopened J 5 Transferred from Another District J 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U S Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) Image: Cite the U S S Image: Cite the U S S Cite the U S Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) Image: Cite the U S S Cite the U S S Cite the U S Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) Image: Cite the U S S S Cite the U S S S Cite the U S S						
COMPLAINT:	CNDER RULE 23, F R Cv P		JURY DEMAND:	X Yes		
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	(See instructions)		DOCKET NUMBER	JUL 23 2018		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	TOPNEY OF RECORD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
07/18/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1/2. M A	7				
	APPLYING IFP	Л/DGE	MAG JUD	3E		

Description Description Address of Planutifi	FOR	3-CDJ Document 1-1 Filed 07/23/18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA DESIGNATION FORM	18 3068
Address of Defendant	(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintif		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Plumsteadville, PA (Bucks County) Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Plumsteadville, PA (Bucks County) RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Address of Plaintiff		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Address of Defendant		
Case Number	Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		
Case Number	:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions 1 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered sturp ending or within one year reveally terminated action in this court? 2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Vis Ves Ves Vis Ves Vis Ves Ves Vis Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Vis Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ve	RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
1 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No 2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transacton as a pror suit Yes No Image: Second	Case Number	Judge: D	Date Terminated
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No 3 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? No ✓ 4 Is this case a second or successive habeac corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No ✓ 4 Is this case a second or successive habeac corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No ✓ 4 Is this case a second or successive habeac corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No ✓ 4 Is this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Does this court except as noted above. Patent 93041 0 Atterney at Law 'Pro Se Plannitf Atterney, ID # (if applicable) Interney, ID # (if applicable) Civilit: (Place a \ in one category only) Image: Provide the personal Injury 9 Atterney, ID # (if applicable) 4 Haboas Corpus Image: Products Labity - Abbestos 9 Atterney, Atterney 9 Labor-Management Relations Products Labity - Abbestos <td>C1v1l cases are deemed related when Yes is answered</td> <td>d to any of the following questions.</td> <td></td>	C1v1l cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	d to any of the following questions.	
a) Does the case movive the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier in numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? No ✓ 4) Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes ✓ No ✓ No ✓ 4) Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes ✓ No ✓ No ✓ 4) Is this court exceed as need above Image: Corpus of the second above No ✓ DATE 07/18/2018 93041 Attorney at Law ' Pro Se Plaintif Attorney ID = f(f) applicable) CIVIL: (Place a \ in one category only) A Federal Question Case: 1 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury Asplate Personal Injury 4 A thirtust 1 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 5 Patenti 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Order Dersonal Injury 5 Astention 8 Products Labitity - Asbeatos 10 Products Labitity - Asbeatos 6 Social Security Review Cases 10 Social Security Review Cases 10 Products Labitity - Asbeatos 9 Social Security Review Sates <td< td=""><td></td><td>arlier numbered suit pending or within one year</td><td>Yes No</td></td<>		arlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No
numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Image: Court of the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se erviring the second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or provide the second or provide the se	2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminate	r grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit and action in this court?	Yes No
case filed by the same individual? I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case in or related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE 07/18/2018			Yes No 🖌
this court except as noted above. 93041 DATE 07/18/2018 ATE 07/18/2018 Attorney at Law : Pro Se Planuff Attorney 1D * (if applicable) Image: Active personal inpury Image: Active personal inpury A Federal Question Cares: Image: Image: Active personal inpury A Federal Question Cares: Image: Image: Image: Image: Active personal inpury A Antitrust 3 Assault, Definition Cares: Securities Active personal inpury A Antitrust A Antitrust Other Personal Inpury A Datemation Other Personal Inpury A Antitrust Other Personal Inpury B Habeas Corpus Other Personal Inpury B Habeas Corpus Other Personal Inpury B Securities Act(s) Cases Products Liability - Asbestos P Social Securities Act(s) Cases Products Liability P Products Liability Astattrust of the effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration (Please specify) Pu		us, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🖌
A Federal Question Cases: I Indemnity Contract, Marune Contract, and All Other Contracts 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4 Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Security Review Cases 10 Interrest Act(s) Cases 10 Other Personal Injury 11 Habeas Corpus 12 Federal Question Cases 13 Jones Act-Personal Relations 14 Marine Personal Injury 15 Patent 16 Labor-Management Relations 17 Products Liability 18 Habeas Corpus 19 Securities Act(s) Cases 10 Other Personal Injury 11 Ansine Personal Injury 12 Products Liability 10 Products Liability 11 Assauduets 12 Products Liability 13 Astender Personal Injury <	this court except as noted above.	is inot related to any case now pending or with	
A Federal Question Cases: I Indemnity Contract, Marune Contract, and All Other Contracts 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4 Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Security Review Cases 10 Interrest Act(s) Cases 10 Other Personal Injury 11 Habeas Corpus 12 Federal Question Cases 13 Jones Act-Personal Relations 14 Marine Personal Injury 15 Patent 16 Labor-Management Relations 17 Products Liability 18 Habeas Corpus 19 Securities Act(s) Cases 10 Other Personal Injury 11 Ansine Personal Injury 12 Products Liability 10 Products Liability 11 Assauduets 12 Products Liability 13 Astender Personal Injury <	DATE	Attorney at-Law ! Pro Se Plaintiff	
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Contracts PELA Airplane Personal Injury Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault, Defamation Hattartian Marine Personal Injury Antitrust Other Personal Injury Antitrust Marine Personal Injury Antitrust Other Personal Injury Covil Rights Other Personal Injury Best Corpus Other Personal Injury Social Security Review Cases Other Personal Injury All other Federal Question Cases Products Liability - Asbestos Social Security Review Cases All other Diversity Cases All other Federal Question Cases Please specify) Preducts Liability - Asbestos Particust Cases Martine Effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration / Restrict Cover Standards Act, 29 U S C 201 Andrew Santillo .counsel of record or pro se planutif, do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case Relef other than monetary damages is sought. JUL 2 3 2018' DATE O7/18/2018 Attorney IP or Se Planutif		Attorney at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	
$\begin{array}{c} \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)		Attorney ID # (if applicable)
R. Andrew Santillo . counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs JUL 2.3 2018' Relief other than monetary damages is sought. JUL 2.3 2018' DATE 07/18/2018 93041 Attorney-at-Law' Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney ID # (if applicable)	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Security Review Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11 All other Federal Question Cases	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case All Other Contracts All Other Contracts Aurplane Personal II Assault, Defamation Amarine Personal Inj S Motor Vehicle Perso Other Personal Inju Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity (Please specify) C	Attorney I D # (if applicable) ss: and Other Contracts njury n jury conal Injury try (Please specify) Asbestos
Relief other than monetary damages is sought. JUL 23 2018' DATE 07/18/2018 93041 Attorney-at-Law' Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney ID # (if applicable)	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Security Review Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11 All other Federal Question Cases	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case I All Other Contracts I Insurance Contract I I Insurance Contract I Aurplane Personal In I Assault, Defamation I Amarine Personal Inj I Motor Vehicle Pers I Other Personal Inju I Products Liability I P	Attorney I D # (if applicable) ss: and Other Contracts njury n jury conal Injury try (Please specify) Asbestos
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I D # (if applicable)	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Labor Standards A (The effect 1 R. Andrew Santillo Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (Attorney I D # (if applicable) 25: and Other Contracts njury n jury sonal Injury rry (Please specify) - Asbestos Cases
	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases 12. Please specify) 13. Fair Labor Standards / 14. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of \$150,000 00 exclusiv	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case All Other Contracts I Insurance Contract 2 Airplane Personal In 3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal In 3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal In 5 Motor Vehicle Pers 6 Other Personal In 7 Products Liability 7 Products Liability 9 All other Diversity (Please specify) Act, 29 U S C. 201 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION for this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for a counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dama of interest and costs.	Attorney I D # (if applicable) rs: and Other Contracts njury n jury sonal Injury rry (Please specify) - Asbestos Cases arbitration) ages recoverable in this civil action case
	CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FELA 3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5 Patent 6 Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8 Habeas Corpus 9 Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Labor Standards / CThe effect 1. R. Andrew Santillo Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of Relief other than monetary damages is sou 07/18/2018	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case All Other Contracts I Insurance Contract 2 Airplane Personal In 3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal In 3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal In 5 Motor Vehicle Pers 6 Other Personal In 7 Products Liability 7 Products Liability 9 All other Diversity (Please specify) Act, 29 U S C. 201 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION for this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for a counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dama of interest and costs.	Attorney I D # (if applicable) s: and Other Contracts njury n jury sonal Injury try (Please specify) Asbestos Cases arbitration) ages recoverable in this civil action case JUL 23 2018

	Case 2:18-cv=03068-CDJ	Document 1-1	Filed 07/23/18	Page 3 (of 3
, I	IN THE UNIT	TED STATES D ERN DISTRICT	ISTRICT COUR OF PENNSYLV	T ANIA	
	CASE MANAGEN	<u>MENT TRACK I</u>	DESIGNATION H	ORM	
	Nicole Dunn	:		CIVIL AC	TION
	v.			18	3068
	H+J Restaurant Manag	ement :]	NO.	
n a	accordance with the Civil Justice F	Expense and Dela	y Reduction Plan	of this co	urt, counsel for

Ir plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.
- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)
- (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

7/18/18

Plaintif Attorney for as an tillog winebrakelow.com

215-884-2491

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

JUL 23 2018

Attorney-at-law

215-884-2492

()

()

()